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# Agenda

**March 5, 2012**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Event</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>15.30</td>
<td>Coffee break.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.00</td>
<td>The Pegaso calendar.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.00</td>
<td>SC agreement on the dates for the VIC02, the 3rd General meeting and the next SC meetings accordingly.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.30</td>
<td>Partners expected contributions per WP for the second period.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.30</td>
<td>Summary table per WP of the results of the distributed excel sheets.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.30</td>
<td>Pegaso progress of activities per WP (Interim report August 2011-January 2012).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.30</td>
<td>WP progress of work and status of activities.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.30</td>
<td>WP deliverable progress and status.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.30</td>
<td>WP problems encountered.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.30</td>
<td>WP individual partners achievements.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.30</td>
<td>WP dissemination activities.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.30</td>
<td>WP expected activities and milestones for the next period.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21:00</td>
<td>Dinner at Belaterra. 15’ Walking from the hotel.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**March 6, 2012**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Event</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>09.00</td>
<td>Revision of the process of project management for contributing positively to the second half of Pegaso:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09.00</td>
<td>SC decision on a systematic process for internal WP work and communication to be sent to the task leaders:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09.00</td>
<td>Organize WP/task face-to-face meetings with all partners involved (at least one before Rabat).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>WP2. Work with the members of the End User Committee:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>SC agreement on the proposal made by Julien Le Tellier, on the process for implementing the Communication Action Plan.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>Presentation by IUCN of the 4th Newsletter and the 1st Brochure for decision makers.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>WP3. SC agreement on the SDI training in Ostende prepared by UPO/VLIZ.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>WP4. Task 4.1 Indicators. Revision of the work done so far by IOC/VLIZ.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>WP4. Task 4.3 Scenarios. SC revision and comments on the proposal made by Marion Postchin for the task 4.3 next steps.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>WP4&amp;WP5: Developed tools and methods &amp; Local CASE conditions:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>SC revision and comments on the proposal made by Sergey Konovalov (see email from Sergey) and Stefano Sorani’s comments on WP4’s Note to CASES 2011 (see document from Stefano attached to the Forum).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>WP6: Capacity Building Plan. Validation by the SC.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>In the SC Forum on the 21st of February.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>Presentation by the WP6 of the organization of the 1st CB sessions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.00</td>
<td>Lunch.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.00</td>
<td>WP7: MEDCOAST Institute 2012: Proposal made by WP7.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.00</td>
<td>Revision of the editorial process for the Pegaso Bulletins &amp; Newsletter.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
March 5, 2012
Pegaso progress. Forth internal reporting (July 2011-January 2012).

During the 1\textsuperscript{st} session of the SC meeting, the WP leaders have presented the main results of the period and the next steps. The next paragraphs are just a summary of the information presented by WP. For more detailed information see also:

- The power point presentations.
- The forth-interim progress report.

During the 2\textsuperscript{nd} session of the SC meeting, the SC has focused on the Capacity Building Plan and the Calendar for the next months and on specific issues within every WP. The most important SC agreements and decisions have been marked in orange to highlight them within the text.

\textbf{W1 review.}

- The 1\textsuperscript{st} EC report was sent to the EC on the 30\textsuperscript{th} of September 2011: D1.4A 1stECR-UAB_110930-L-1.2.
- The 1\textsuperscript{st} interim payment letter and therefore the EC approval, was received on the 12\textsuperscript{th} of December 2011 together with a first interim payment of 2.019.598,24 €.
- The UAB has transferred to the Pegaso Consortium the corresponding amounts to this 1\textsuperscript{st} interim in February 2012.
- The UAB has decided to wait to transfer the amount of 150.564 € corresponding to the 1\textsuperscript{st} interim payment of the partner number 9. The total planned of expenditure of the partner for the 2\textsuperscript{nd} period, is less than the amount left from the Pre-financing.

\begin{itemize}
  \item SC agreement on the need to follow this issue to be able to prepare on time, a proposal for the GA, in case there is a release of resources that need to be reallocated within the Pegaso Consortium.
\end{itemize}

\textbf{W1 next steps.}

- The 4\textsuperscript{th} interim report is being prepared with the information on the WP’s progress provided by the WP leaders during this SC meeting, the information provided by the partners and the information provided by the financial contacts.

\textbf{W2 review.}

- \textbf{Task 2.1 Conceptual Framework for ICZM:}
  - The Criteria for governance is being finalised although there was a low interest in contributing to the issues raised at the consortium forum.
  - An excel spreadsheet for the Task 2.1.2 (Review, generic lessons learned and requirements for ICZM Governance identified by end-users and CASEs) has been prepared and is ready to be disseminated for discussion and amendment.
  - Discussion has started on the objectives and contents of the conceptual framework.

- \textbf{Task 2.2 ICZM stock-take:}
  - 10 Mediterranean countries have submitted a revised version of the questionnaire; there is no information so far for the Black Sea countries.
  - There has been a slow reaction by the countries with regard to the stocktaking questionnaire and therefore this is to be dealt with in priority in the forthcoming period.
  - The Pegaso stock-take has been accepted as an official report and a basis for the reporting system of the Barcelona Convention (COP17 decision).

- \textbf{Task 2.4 Building the governance platform:}
  - The guidelines for the platform are in preparation.
  - The Business Plan is ready for discussion.
— **The ICZM Process:**
  — This is not a specific task within WP2 but it takes a lot of time and effort. An updated version of the text for the wiki was prepared and needs to be discussed internally in PAP/RAC before it can be made public.

**W2 next steps.**

— The criteria for governance will be finalised by end March and turned into an official deliverable;
— The excel spreadsheet for T2.1.2 will be shared among partners involved in this task.
— A meeting is being arranged with the sub-task leader (UNOTT) in Split (on the 26-27th of April 2012) to prepare an outline of the conceptual framework.
— A reminder will be sent to speed-up the revision of the stock-taking questionnaire; the analysis will continue with possible slight delay.
— Effort for building and moderating the platform will continue, the Business Plan and the report on good practices will be discussed and finalised.

**W3 review.**

— **Task 3.2.3. Build the central Pegaso geonode Phase II:**
  The following has been developed under this task:
  — The Metadata Catalogue, the downloading service and uploading service, the standard services and the OGC.
  — The first “viewer”.
  — The guidelines for the geonodes construction.
  — An analysis of the partners’ interests and expertise in SDI developing (survey).

— **Task 3.2.5. Developing of Services for the Pegaso SDI:**
  — The results from the survey in SDI commitment are ready.
  — From now on, the training materials will be organized in five stages that will end in a face-to-face meeting in Oostende on the 22nd to 25th of October 2012.

— **Task 3.2.6 Development of services Phase 2:**
  — The geoportal is working and some services are connected.
  — Some Training material is already available: the Guidelines for building the Pegaso SDI.
  — A Moodle e-learning platform has been created to organize the training material.
  — The preparation of the training session in Oostende is ongoing.

— **Task 3.3. Develop a Pegaso common content management platform:**
  — The training on the intranet utilities and management was organized for the Romania 2nd General meeting.
  — More than 155 credentials for the intranet have been created.

— **Task 3.4. Develop a Pegaso common content management platform:**
  — The following sections are new in the web portal: Pegaso news, deliverables, newsletter.
  — The Pegaso Wiki is working and the articles of each deliverable and Pegaso news have been uploaded.
  — More than 25 events and news have been published and 10 articles have been produced.
**W3 next steps.**

- To harmonize data and metadata (resolution, metadata, fields, INSPIRE).
- To analyse the available spatial information to be included.
- To produce the training materials on the SDI:

  ![SDI: Training material](image)

- Collaborate in the organisation of the next Virtual Conference (VIC02).
- Creation and publication of articles and maintenance of the news section of the project.

**W4 review.**

- **Task 4.1 Indicators** *(see also pages 10&11 for more details)*:
  - The indicator set and methodology have been defined.
  - Common set of indicators from reviewed initiatives.

- **Task 4.2: LEAC/Cumulative Impact Mapping**:
  - **ID4.2.2 Development of methodology for coast and marine accounts and Updates (V2)**. A draft for deliverable 4.2.2 was prepared during the previous reporting period, however new inputs were collected, namely for developing an integrated methodology of ecosystem accounting.

- **T4.3: Scenarios** *(see also pages 11&12 for more details)*:
  - **ID4.3.1. Review of existing scenario studies and proposal for next step**. A systematic literature review was completed and posted for consortium feedback. Deadline has passed and final report will be uploaded as ID4.3.1 by end of March 2012.

- **T4.4: Participatory methods**:
  - Guidelines issued and first training implemented *(10/11).*

- **T4.5: Economic assessment**:
  - The stock take is late in completion *(ID4.5.1)* but under review by UOB; ETD: cost based approach developed as economic assessment framework; for “real” green accounting: inception of fisheries account.

- **T4.6: Integrated toolbox**:
  - Proposal of an integrated assessment scheme to act as the Toolbox *(DPSIR based on Indicators; this needs the CASEs to produce illustration).*
The internal communication issue is linked to the coupling/integration of the tasks.

There is a gap from conceptual tools to training; Task leaders need to interpret the CASEs reporting to make the conceptual notes operational and adapt the training materials.

There is a need to consider how we integrate the Pegaso tools with the tools already developed by the CASEs.

There are two levels, the conceptual tools and the operational one, and therefore needs of layers in the output structure (when allowed by tool):
- Ecosystems accounts (full layers) and spatialized indicators (first layer);
- Green accounting (full layers) and spatialized economic information (first layer); ETD and cost based approach (intermediate layer);
- Having the CASEs illustrative of the method would be the full application of the tool; to be delivered with the tools guidelines as the illustration of the tools (to be included in the ToolBox).

**W4 next steps.**

---

**Task 4.1 Indicators:**
- Testing and application of the indicators selected. Identify a small number of indicators to be tested at both regional and local levels. Collaboration with task 4.2.

**Task 4.2: LEAC:**
- Accounting matrix to collect available inputs;
  - Account development process in 6 steps; completion of 3 first steps by next September.
  - Define, or sketch a proposition (pre-concept).
  - Perform a quick test with available datasets.
  - Define a detailed concept of work (jointly, in a teamwork).
  - Develop an accounting model and database (jointly, in a teamwork).
  - Assess, validate and improve the models and databases.
  - Produce final ecosystem capital accounts.
  - Develop material for cases and regional assessment after September 2012.

**Task 4.2: Cumulative Impact Mapping:**
- Data inventory and collection.
- Expert Survey.
- Harmonisation of the datasets.
- Produce a final map of potential cumulative impact in selected areas.
- Develop material for the CASEs and regional assessment after September 2012.
- Evaluate the potential and usefulness at different scales (CASEs-regional).

**Task 4.3: Scenarios:**
- Review of existing scenario studies.
- Integration of tools into scenarios implementation; preparation of workshops for integrated training on tools and scenario (with WP4, 5 and 6).

**T4.5: Economic assessment**
- Complete the socio-economic assessment framework ID4.5.2.
- Provide a comprehensive synthesis about economic assessment (from stock take) and the reasons that drove us to select the ETD.
- Regarding Green Accounting: Initiate the fisheries account and Interact with LEAC/CII to provide the economic dimension (ecosystem capital accounting).
- Continue to work on BdR CASE to illustrate the method. Potential for Lebanese CASE.
- Prepare material for CBP. Training targets and objectives: building a common understanding of issues and institutional perspectives affecting the Mediterranean coastal zone.
- Comment and test the proposal of integrated assessment scheme.
WP4 meeting to be organized:
- The WP4 internal meeting scope is to try to integrate the tools, define the interaction between tools and agree on the material for training to be provided.

W5 review.

- Task 5.1 CASEs:
  - Preparation and distribution of the CASE 1st phase evaluation template: 10/10 Evaluation templates collected.
  - Collection and analysis.
  - Creation of a specific space on the Intranet for the collection of the materials produced by the CASEs: 6/10 CASES uploaded material on the Intranet common space.
  - Preparation and publication on the Intranet of the report on the first evaluation period.
  - Publication of the Input to D5.1A Report “CASES reporting (10 CASES at the end of the 5 phases: preparatory, phase 1, phase 2, phase 3 and conclusions) including comparison among CASEs and relevance of CASEs in the whole basin” on the Intranet.

  - The general perception of the CASEs coordinators needs to be considered:
    - Lack of communication among CASEs and Tools.
    - Expectation of the CASEs regarding tools.
    - Different views between CASEs and WP4 task leaders regarding the relationship between tools and CASEs.
    - Is WP4 delaying the provision of information to the CASEs?

- Task 5.2 Regional Assessment:
  - Review of existing Mediterranean and Black Seas Regional Assessment.
  - Concept paper on regional assessment scope structures and format.

W5 next steps.

- End of the 2nd CASEs period activities.
- Preparation of the 2nd CASEs evaluation report. The report will aim to allow a comparative reading for the regional Assessment and the CASEs activities evaluation. The report will focus on the following issues:
  - Social and economic relevance of the considered coastal issues.
  - Relation with key issues and expected outcomes,
  - Relation with tools,
  - Contextualization with ICZM process and Protocol principles.
  - Constraints.

W7 review.

- The first three issues of the Pegaso Bulletin (No 1-3) were prepared by using the invited contributions coming from the partners.
- The procedure is changed with Bulletin 4. The draft bulletin is prepared directly by MEDCOAST, using the materials reported by the internal e-news and in the Pegaso web portal.
- The format of the Newsletter is designed by IUCN. E-mail circulation of the Newsletter is expected to take place soon. Each newsletter will be a one-off webpage version, presented as a link in the Pegaso general website.
- The first version of the Communication Plan was approved in July 2011. The process of preparing an updated version of the document is ongoing.
  - Partners should send information about the upcoming events and the Pegaso born / related publications to the coordinator (UAB) and the WP7 leader (MEDCOAST).
- The design of the Pegaso Poster 2 is in progress.
March 6, 2012

During the 2nd session of the SC meeting, the SC has focused on the Capacity Building Plan and the Calendar for the next months and also on specific issues within every WP.

Revision of the process of project management

A systematic process for internal WP work and communication needs to be sent to the task leaders as a FAQ:

- Organization of WP/task face-to-face meetings with all partners involved (at least one before Rabat).
- Implement forum categories per task.
- When a document is uploaded to a Forum it is the responsibility of the WP/Task leader who starts the discussion to follow the process, encourage the discussion (telephone if necessary) and follow up the answers ensuring a positive understanding.
- If needed, follow up of issues personally by telephone.

The Pegaso Calendar for the next period:

The SC decision to provide the Consortium and especially the task leaders with a clear Calendar summarizing the next steps for the next months. The SC has agreed on the following calendar:

| M26 | 03/2012 | Barcelona (5th-6th March 2011) | SC meeting: progress reporting and next steps |
| M26 | 03/2012 | WP3 meeting in Barcelona (7th March 2012). | WPs face-to-face meetings |
| M27 | 04/2012 | WP2 meeting in Split (26-27th April 2012) | Development of the training materials |
| M27 | 04/2012 | WP5.2 meeting | |
| M28 | 05/2012 | WP4 meeting | |
| M28-M30 | 05-07/2012 | MEDOPEN ICZM | WP2 Online Training |
| M32 | 09/2012 | Lebanon or Venice (17th-18th September) | SC meeting: progress reporting and preparation of the VIC02 |
| M33 | 10/2012 | Virtual conference; 1st and 2nd weeks of October | VIC02: Final training materials in the intranet (WP3+WP4) |
| M33 | 10/2012 | Ostend (22nd-25th October 2012) | WP3 face-to-face training |
| M34 | 11/2012 | TDV | CASEs Workshops for integrated training on tools and scenario |
| M35 | 12/2012 | BS PSC | SC meeting: progress reporting and preparation of the 3rd GM. |
| M39 | 04/2013 | Rabat | 3rd General Meeting |
| M40- | | Organizer regional workshops: AREA ED, ACRI EC MH, NIOF, NARSS. |
| M40 | 05/2013 | Training on aquiculture (2 modules) by IFREMER in Eastern Mediterranean (Lebanon, Egypt and Turkey). |

- The Regional workshops for T6.3 will be organized after Rabat.

The exact dates and venues for the training workshops need to be confirmed by UAB/UBO.
WP2. Work with the members of the End User Committee:

- The Pegaso Communication Strategy and Action Plan need to be updated with a communication action plan for the EUC.

- Julien Le Tellier will update the Communication Action Plan for the EUC to be submitted to the SC forum at the beginning of April 2011.
- There is also a need that the WP1 and 2 produce a strategy for networking to optimize the Pegaso budget.
- The EUC CAP together with the networking strategy can be revised in the next WP2 meeting in Split.
- These actions have a clear interaction with the Pegaso Business Plan.

- The Pegaso Communication Strategy also needs to be updated with the strategy/content/calendar for the Brochures for Decision Makers and the e-Newsletters, both materials to be produced by IUCN.

- Maria del Mar Otero and Sonsoles San Roman (IUCN) presented to the members of the SC the 1st draft of the 1st Brochure for decision makers and the 1st e-Newsletter.
- The objective and direction of the brochure should be:
  - Inform Decision makers
  - 6 pages (DIN A5 face size (210 x 148 mm)
  - Clear message and visually appealing
  - Main messages to highlight of the Pegaso project
- Bulletins and newsletters should go together, but because of the delay, the Newsletter process will work separately.

- Maria del Mar Otero will prepare a 2nd draft of the 1st Brochure with the SC proposed changes to the content, that will be uploaded to the SC forum for a final revision.
- Sonsoles San Roman will prepare a 2nd draft of the newsletter with the proposed changes to the structure and content that will be uploaded to the SC forum for a final revision as well as to receive the feedback from the Editorial Board. To avoid delays, the consultation of the newsletter document will take no more than a week.
- SC agreement on the e-mail newsletter format. The e-Newsletter could serve as the basis to produce the Press releases.
- There is a need that the WP7 produces guidelines on how to react to the responses coming from the dissemination of the e-newsletters.
- IUCN will produce the newsletter in 2 languages (French and English).
- Discussions were held on the translation of the periodic bulletins to different languages, particularly Arabic and French.

WP3. SDI training in Oostende prepared by UPO/VLIZ.

- Ann Katrien Lescrauwaet presents the SDI training sequence that will end in a WP3 face-to-face SDI training to be organized by UPO/VLIZ in Oostende on the 2nd - 25th of October 2012:
  - Online training previous to Oostende. Registration to the course needed.
  - A VIC02 session around the training is expected to validate the training materials.

- Ann Katrien Lescrawet presents the work done so far to harmonize units. Basic layers need to be in place and the WP3 is providing this while the WP4 is progressing.

- SC agreement on the use of the UAB reserve resources for the T6.1 face-to-face training sessions. The costs of the training in Oostende (VLIZ) together with the preparation of the training materials (UPO) will be covered by the UAB reserve budget.
- The UAB reserve should also cover the expenses for the organization of the MedOpen advanced virtual training on ICZM Process (PAP RAC).
WP4. Task 4.1 Indicators.

— Francesca Santoro presents the Task 4.1 steps until now and products:

— 4.1.1 Review and exploration; Review of 8 initiatives (e.g. DEDUCE, CAMPs, MSSD);
— 4.1.2 Assessment; Policy needs assessment (ICZM Protocol, MSFD);
— 4.1.3 Definition of indicator set; Common set of indicators from reviewed initiatives;
— 4.1.4 Definition of indicator set and methodology; ICZM Principle > Policy objectives > ICZM Protocol Article > Indicator > Matrix.

— Inclusion of the UNEP-MAP ecological objectives indicators;
— Left aside the carrying capacity policy objectives;
— Left aside long term approach policy objectives;
— First attempt of prioritising indicators.

— Input in deliverable 4.1:
  — Background information on indicators for ICZM;
  — Role of indicators in Pegaso;
  — Links with the Protocol;
  — Initiatives reviewed focusing on a reduced set of indicators;
  — Link with data.

— The matrix:
  — The blue fields position the indicator within a policy framework: the ICZM principle (as redrafted to reflect the concept of Ecosystem-based-approach as required from the EU integrated policies); the Policy objective (as formulated in the ICZM Protocol to the Barcelona Convention; the link with ICZM Protocol Articles.
  — The green fields in the matrix describe the relevance of the indicator within the framework of application: position in the DPSIR framework; category of indicator; the scale at which the indicator is expected to provide most robust output and application (local, (sub) national, regional sea); the methodologies/tools in which the indicator can be instrumental; where available, the definition of quantitative or qualitative targets/thresholds/reference values for the indicator, and the sources of these values

— Guidelines for indicator implementation
  — Explanation of the approach;
  — Steps in the implementation;
  — Link with SDI;
  — Issues to be taken into account when testing the indicators (feedback questionnaire);
  — We would like to link with ICZM Process steps.

— WP4. Task 4.1 Next Steps.
  — Selection of sub-set for Regional Assessment and CASEs;
  — Which criteria to be used for selection?;
  — Ecological objectives;
  — Links with LEAC/SEAC work;
  — Objectives of Regional Assessment (ref also to scenarios);
  — Only for those development of methodological fact sheet (for economic indicators already started);
  — Contacts with CASEs;
  — Development of training material.
Francesca Santoro presented the list of activities done and the next steps but there was no discussion about the list proposed and in particular not about the short-list of 10-12 indicators. Further discussions in the SC forum have shown that neither Plan Bleu nor PAP RAC are yet satisfied with the current outcome.

- Need for validation by Task 4.1 participants before SC validation.
- There is a need for revisions before validation: remaining questions to be tackled concerning “multiple indicators”, “similar indicators”, and the methodological paper (to be completed), etc.

WP4. Task 4.3 Scenarios

The WP4 leaders will prepare a Note with the results of the SC discussion on the scenario approach to be discussed with UNOTT in a face-to-face or a Skype meeting to be held in March 2011.

Summarized below are the main SC thoughts on the task 4.3 Scenario:
- The Capacity Building Plan regarding scenario should be focused in “training by doing”.
- Regional Scenario;
- You train and produce scenario.
  - 1) Train in the concept;
  - 2) Share the data we have;
  - 3) Make the scenario on a concrete subject;
  - 4) Build the strategy
- Addressing the hottest political issues; if not, not credible.
- We should make scenarios of issues not considered in other projects such as urban sprawl.

Summarized below is the SC proposal for next steps to move towards an integrated training on tools and scenario that needs to be discussed with UNOTT. This is a proposal for next steps in order to prepare the training:

Preparation process (March 2012-VIC02)

- 1) Main topics to be provided by Julian Barbiere (EU parliament Monday 12 of March 2012);
- 2) Articulate a working group on linking tools, Regional Assessment, and capacity building (WP2, T4.1, T4.2, T4.3, T5.2, and WP6).
  - Lead by UNOTT or UBO.
  - WP4 meeting on May/June 2012 in Nottingham.
- 3) Selection of a sub-set of indicators & development of methodological fact sheets for this subset;
- 4) Develop the story line for assessment and baseline scenario;

The training (VIC02 for materials on line and two CASEs workshops in the BS and the Med)

- 5) Training with end-users to produce and test Scenarios; 2 CASEs Workshops on integrated training on tools and scenarios (TdV Nov/Dec 2012 and PS BSC Dec 2012);
  - Training by doing
  - Facilitator + Online materials
- 6) Local level scenario (with Imagine?); adaptation of scenarios to local level by CASEs if wished

Presentation of the results

- 7) Workshop with the end-users to discuss the policy options; Rabat?
  - Local stake holders from the CASEs
The “road map” summarized above is only a 1st proposal that needs an in-depth discussion during the Skype (UBO-UNOTT) discussions that are planed. For example, it is important to consider PAP RAC’s comment on the proposal for the next steps that are mainly related to the method and in particular the ‘training by doing’ with the end-users, i.e. NFPs in particular, as this is politically very sensitive and could ‘block’ bridging the gap between the science/research and end-users. There is also the need for discussions with the task participants as well as in terms of clear contributions of each other.

We could also engage the expertise of other projects working with Scenarios.

**WP4&WP5: Developed tools and methods & Local CASE conditions**

- Stefano Soriani presents the main ideas described in the WP4’s Note to CASES 2011.
- WP5 focuses on the evaluation phase number 2; what do we expect from the CASEs?
  - We should ask them for something precise in the view of the RA.
  - A well structured set of information:
    - Coastal issues and social political relevance using indicators from 4.1
    - Relevance of coastal issues
    - Aims of their job and relation with tools.
    - Lessons learned in trying to adopt the tools.
    - Data available for the indicators.

**W6: Capacity Building Plan**

- The capacity building plan has been discussed in several of the sessions of this SC.
- The WP6 leaders will update the CBP with the changes proposed within the SC to be able to upload the document in the Pegaso intranet for information to the Consortium.
- The WP6 leaders will be in charge of the follow up of the whole process: to collect the training materials (trainers and trainees), co-organization of the training events and workshops, ensure the quality of the training (pre and post evaluation), etc.
- The WP6 leaders will produce guidelines on main responsibilities and processes to follow to implement the CBP.
- The WP6 leaders can use the e-learning Moodle implemented by the WP3 to organise the training material. The WP6 would be in charge of the implementation of this tool that could be accessed from the Pegaso intranet (link).

SC agreement that the Proposal made by WP7 for the MEDCOAST Institute 2012 will be fully achieved by the Medopen, the WP3 training sequence, the Pegaso tools training sequences and the two CASEs workshops.

The Pegaso budget has allocated resources for the attendance to the Medcoast Institute of two persons from the BS (already spent in the MI 2010). It is not feasible to declare more resources for this training event.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Id</th>
<th>Action</th>
<th>People Responsible</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>To prepare a proposal of a Communication Action Plan for the EUC to be revised in the SC forum at the beginning of April 2011.</td>
<td>Julien Le Tellier</td>
<td>April 2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>To prepare a 2nd draft of the 1st Brochure updated with the SC proposed changes that will be uploaded to the SC forum for a final revision.</td>
<td>Maria del Mar Otero</td>
<td>March 2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>To prepare a 2nd draft of the newsletter with the proposed changes to the structure and content that will be uploaded to the SC forum for a final revision.</td>
<td>Sonsoles Sanroman</td>
<td>March 2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>The WP1 and 2 produce a strategy for networking linked to the Business Plan and also to the Communication Plan.</td>
<td>WP1&amp;2</td>
<td>April 2012</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 5    | The WP1, 2&7 update the D7.1 with:  
  — The Communication Action Plan for the EUC prepared by Julien,  
  — The networking strategy,  
  — The brochure for decision makers and newsletter strategy/calendar,  
  — The guidelines on how to react to the responses coming from the dissemination of the e-newsletters. | WP2&7                     | April 2012 |
<p>| 6    | To prepare a FAQ (including Calendar) for the task leaders                                                                                                                                              | Gloria Salgado            | March 2012 |
| 7    | To prepare a Note with the results of the SC discussion on the scenario approach to be discussed with UNOTT in a face-to-face or Skype meeting.                                                        | WP4 leaders               | March 2012 |
| 8    | To update the CBP with the changes proposed within the SC to be able to upload the document in the Pegaso intranet for information to the Consortium.                                                         | WP6 leaders               | March 2012 |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Id</th>
<th>Action</th>
<th>People Responsible</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>16-17/02/2011 PEGASO/SC</td>
<td>To produce guidelines on main responsibilities and processes to follow to implement the CBP.</td>
<td>WP6 leaders</td>
<td>March 2012</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>